Quelle: https://manuscripta.at/?ID=6232
Copyright: CC BY 4.0 <img src="https://manuscripta.at/styles/icon_cc_by.png"> <img src="https://manuscripta.at/styles/BMKOES_Logo.svg" height="48"> <img src="https://manuscripta.at/styles/EUnion_POS1.jpg">



37 31

debat Dn Petrus Thom in 3 p. q. 16. ar. 2
Dicit quod tota res sub utraq; specie non est
nisi fructus altera eaq; oz enim ad refectionem
tendu pa oz qui in q seorsu sagis qui fruit
a corpore Ro ad fiendu perfectu nutimentu
ne qda in hoc sacro Ro ad designand
defectu hoc sacri qui est sanq utriusq; hois
q oia p eque plene p vna species fieri
no poterant sic p duas lites eque ple
ne offeri Tum Ro Ro est superflua alta
species mq Albertq qz lites habea in corpore
no nisi ex virtute sacri fiz porig ex vnione
nali qua humores vniunt in corpore
Denq no obstat q sue tudinis me q
non repeiat statuta alis ecie de ita
sic poij eo pretendebat qm no oia q alis
ecia mlta in veneratione no obstat
sub publicata fuerit sed de mandata
scriptis Oz mlta eoz sit sola q sue tudie
introducta q pari pietis et euencia
volit et obstat Dn Bts Aug et po
Ro Eccia De iste loqns ita ait Ec
clesia instituto q sda scriptus q sdam
no ex plita traditione p successes in

sanguis